IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

SHEET METAL WORKERS INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION, LOCAL NO. 18,

ORDER

Plaintiff, v.

07-cv-606-bbc

AIR CLIMATE SYSTEMS, INC. and ALL CLIMATE SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendants.

In this court's January 9, 2008 preliminary pretrial conference order, I noted for the benefit of the corporate defendants' owner, Vickie Shelton that the law requires a corporate litigant to be represented by an attorney. *See Scandia Down Corp. v. Euroquilt, Inc.*, 772 F.2d 1423, 1427 (7th Cir. 1985). While noting Ms. Shelton's frustration at her situation, I advised her that because she was not a lawyer she could not represent the defendants and there was nothing this court could do to assist Ms. Shelton obtain representation for her company.

On February 15, 2008, Ms. Shelton filed a letter with the court pleading for protection from plaintiff's repetitive discovery demands, claiming that plaintiff's agents were engaged in a personal vendetta against her. *See* dkt. 9. Plaintiff responded procedurally with a motion to strike, observing that Ms. Shelton cannot represent the defendants, and substantively, by noting that plaintiffs were serving discovery on the defendant company because the NLRB denied plaintiff access to the documents defendant had provided to the Board. As a corollary to this, plaintiff asked this court to strike defendant's answer (dkt. 6) because it was not signed by an attorney. *See* dkts. 11 - 15.

I am genuinely sympathetic to the quandary in which Ms. Shelton finds herself, but the

rule is clear: when suing or being sued, a corporation must be represented by an attorney. This

is one of the tradeoffs that a corporation's owner must accept in exchange for the benefits she

obtains by incorporating her business. A corporation is a "fictive person" that exists

independently of its owner; therefore it cannot be represented by her in legal proceedings unless

she also happen to be a lawyer. Ms. Shelton *can* represent the corporation in other matters, such

as providing discovery responses directly to plaintiff or its attorney. She may not, however, file

motions, briefs, pleadings or other documents with the court on behalf of the corporation.

Therefore, it is ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to strike defendants' answer and

defendants' request for protection are GRANTED.

Entered this 4th day of March, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER

Magistrate Judge

2